

PRINTER RUSH
(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : 09/898776 Examiner : OpsASNICK GAU : 2655
From : OF Location: IDC FMF FDC Date: 2-24-06

Tracking #: 09/898776 Week Date: 10-17-05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM		<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DRW	<u>7-3-01</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

[RUSH] MESSAGE: ATTN: Chief drafts person
requesting new drawing sheet for
Fig. 3- data illegible.


THANK YOU

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: _____

DRAWING CORRECTED

INITIALS: [Signature]

FIG. 3








Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG). The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG).